



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,637	11/21/2003	Gi Hyeong Do	9988.074.00-US	9123
30827	7590	03/19/2008	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			PATEL, RITA RAMESH	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1792	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/19/2008			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/717,637

Examiner

RITA R. PATEL

Applicant(s)

DO ET AL.

Art Unit

1792

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED **28 February 2008** FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 1.4-7.12 and 14.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-11.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet

/Michael Barr/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 102 rejection over claims 1-2 and 12 as anticipated by Cho et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0050011).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant remarks that the prior art Cho fails to teach the two factors of determining the voltage signal output and the revolution time period in order to figure out the amount of laundry. However, it is maintained that Cho teaches these two factors; Cho teaches a current controller 103 which measures current and thus inherently since current and voltage are related by the formula $voltage = current * resistance$, the controller 103 reflects voltage; this reads on Applicant's claims for a voltage signal output. Secondly, Cho teaches comparing this to a previously stored reference speed and sensing a laundry amount accordingly; this reads on Applicant's claims for a revolution time period. Finally, Applicant argues that Cho does not teach a timer, however the use of a timer is made obvious over Cho for the reasons provided in the former rejection over claim 3. Cho teaches that the time is taken to accelerate the motor to a certain speed and is measured to sense the amount of laundry; one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have reasonably expected that a timer is used to measure time in Cho.

Continuation of 13. Other: Former 35 USC 102 rejection over claims 1-2 and 12 have been overcome. However for the purposes of appeal, the pending claims 1, 4-6, 7, 12, and 14 would be rejected under 35 USC 103 over Cho as already shown on record. Claims 1, 2, and 12 were priorily rejected under 35 USC 102 as anticipated by Cho. Claim 3 was priorily rejected under 35 USC 103 as obvious by Cho. Since Applicant merely canceled claims 2 and 3 and incorporated these features into both claims 1 and 12, these claims are now rejected under 35 USC 103 for the reasons priorily presented for claims 2 and 3.